Resolution presented to the Massachusetts Medical Society to address Wireless Safety

2022 The US Health Freedom Congress Hilton Airport Hotel, Bloomington, Minnesota September 23rd and 24th 2022 Submitted by Massachusetts for Safe Technology

1 2 3	MASSACHUSETTS MEDICAL SOCIETY HOUSE OF DELEGATES		
4. 5. 6. 7.	Item #: Code: Title: Sponsor:	8 Resolution I-20 A-106 Wireless Communications Public Safety Standards Reevaluation Lisa Nagy, MD	
10. 11.	Referred to:	Reference Committee A Mary Beth Miotto, MD, MPH, Chair 12	
13. 14.	Whereas, An MMS objective is A/Patients/1: To advance patient health, well-being, and engagement, prioritizing the most critical individual and public health areas; and 15 Whereas, The MMS currently has no policy on wireless communication and public health; and		
16. 17. 18			
19. 20. 21. 22.	tablets, wearables, baby r devices, Internet of Thing	Whereas, Today's cell towers, 4G/5G small cell antennas, routers, cellular phones, ablets, wearables, baby monitors, gaming systems, utility smart meters, certain medical devices, Internet of Things (IoT) products, and all other wireless technology emit adiofrequency radiation; and	
24 25	Whereas, Wireless technology came to market with no biological safety testing; and Whereas, Scientists are increasingly identifying electromagnetic fields (EMFs) of radiofrequency radiation from wireless devices as a new form of environmental pollution with a growing body of independent peer-reviewed scientific evidence finding significant adverse health and biologic effects on living organisms with exposure to the low levels of non-ionizing microwaves currently approved and used in wireless communication; ¹ and 31		
26. 27. 28. 29. 30.			
32. 33.	Whereas, The California Medical Association House of Delegates passed <i>Resolution</i> 107-14: Wireless Communications Public Safety Standards Reevaluation; ² ; and 34		
35. 36.	Whereas, Some contend that the dosage effects of chronic exposure to wireless radiation are cumulative and illnesses are mounting; ^{3,4} and		

¹ Lai H. BioInitiative Report, Research Summaries 2014-2020. https://bioinitiative.org/research-summaries/.

² California Medical Association House of Delegates. *Wireless Communications Public Safety Standards Reevaluation*. 2014. https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1092989731923/30-Attachment%2030-%20California%20Medical%20Association%20Resolution.pdf.

³ Belyaev I et al. EUROPAEM EMF Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of EMF-related health problems and illnesses (EMF Syndrome). Reviews on Environmental Health. 2016. https://www.vagbrytaren.org/Guideline_AG-EMF.pdf

⁴ Lear RA. The Root Cause in the Dramatic Rise of Chronic Disease. Research Gate 2018. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Richard_Lear/publication/303673576_The_Root_Cause_in_t he_dramatic_rise_of_Chronic_Disease/links/5b9686664585153a531bd30c/The-Root-Cause-in-the-dramatic-rise-of-Chronic-Disease.pdf

- Whereas, Lifetime maximum exposure limits are established for ionizing radiation⁵ but
 have yet to be established for non-ionizing radiation; and
- 4. Whereas, The US National Toxicology Program's (NTP) \$30 million study in 2018
 5. determined "clear evidence" electromagnetic radiation causes cancer⁶ and DNA
- 6. damage;⁷ and

7

3

8. Whereas, Italy's Ramazzini Institute corroborated the NTP findings in another 9. statistically significant 2018 study;8 and

10

- Whereas, Additional peer-reviewed studies⁹ confirm electromagnetic radiation exposure
 leads to many of the symptoms doctors and patients are grappling with today including
 immune suppression, cognitive dyefunction, anyioty depression, suicidal ideation
- 13. immune suppression, cognitive dysfunction, anxiety, depression, suicidal ideation,
- 14. infertility, autism, Alzheimer's, headaches, nausea, insomnia, nosebleeds, pain, and

15. more; and

16

- 17. Whereas, The scientific literature indicates children, 10 fetuses, the elderly, and those with
- 18. existing health conditions are especially vulnerable sub-populations; and children are
- 19. being given radiation-emitting wireless devices to access their education during the
- 20. COVID-19 pandemic with no safety instruction; and

21

- 22. Whereas, The International EMF Scientist Appeal¹¹ urgently calls upon the United
- 23. Nations, the WHO, UNEP, and the UN Member States to address the global public
- 24. health concerns related to exposure to cell phones, power lines, electrical appliances,
- 25. wireless devices, wireless utility meters, and wireless infrastructure in residential homes,
- 26. schools, communities, and businesses; and

_

⁵ United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Part 20 — Standards for Protection against Radiation. https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/cfr/part020/full-text.html.

⁶ Wyde ME. NTP Technical Report on the Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies in HSD: Sprague Dawley SD Rats Exposed to Whole-body Radio Frequency Radiation at a Frequency (900 MHz) and Modulations (GSM and CDMA) Used by Cell Phones. 2018. https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/whatwestudy/topics/cellphones/index.html#studies.

Smith-Roe SL, Wyde ME, Stout MD, et al. Evaluation of the genotoxicity of cell phone radiofrequency radiation in male and female rats and mice following subchronic exposure. *Environ Mol Mutagen*. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1002/em.22343.

⁸ Falcioni L, Bua L, Tibaldi E, et al. Report of final results regarding brain and heart tumors in Sprague-Dawley rats exposed from prenatal life until natural death to mobile phone radiofrequency field representative of a 1.8 GHz GSM base station environmental emission.

2018. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0013935118300367?via%3Dihub.

Pall M. 5G: Great risk for EU, U.S. and International Health! Compelling Evidence for Eight Distinct Types of Great Harm Caused by Electromagnetic Field (EMF) Exposures and the Mechanism that Causes Them. 2018. https://europaem.eu/attachments/article/131/2018-04_EU-EMF2018-5US.pdf.

¹⁰ Ghandi OP. Yes, the Children Are More Exposed to Radiofrequency Energy from Mobile Telephones Than Adults. IEEE Access. 2015. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7131429? reload=true&arnumber=7131429&contentType=J ournals%20%26%20Magazines.

¹¹ 252 EMF Scientists from 43 nations. International Appeal: Scientists call for Protection from Non-ionizing Electromagnetic Field Exposure. 2019. https://www.emfscientist.org/.

- 1. Whereas, The World Health Organization in 2011 classified electromagnetic radiation as
- 2. possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B)¹² and in 2020 is relaunching an
- 3. investigation into radiofrequency electromagnetic fields; ¹³ and

4

- 5. Whereas, Harvard's report Captured Agency: How the Federal Communications
- 6. Commission is Dominated by the Industries it Presumably Regulates¹⁴ indicates the
- 7. wireless industry and FCC appear to be using the Big Tobacco playbook and have
- 8. successfully suppressed credible scientific findings from the public and medical
- 9. community; and

10

- 11. Whereas, The industry is now placing toxic 4G/5G small cell antennas inside
- 12. Massachusetts neighborhoods at close range outside of homes and offices¹⁵ and
- 13. installing cell antennas inside or on medical facilities; and 14
- 15. Whereas, In 2019 the United States brought in domestic and international experts for the
- 16. first three-day Level 1 CME-accredited EMF Conference to train health care providers to
- 17. recognize, diagnose, treat, and prevent wireless radiation illnesses¹⁶; and the next
- 18. international EMF Medical Conference will be held online January, 2021;¹⁷ and 19
- 20. Whereas, Educators in San Francisco, California, passed a resolution calling for safer
- 21. technology standards for all San Francisco schools;¹⁸ and 22
- 23. Whereas, In 2019, New Hampshire passed a law, 19 and a commission 20 is now
- 24. investigating the health and environmental impacts of wireless radiation and will report
- 25. out in November 2020; and

-

WHO. Non-ionizing Radiation, Part 2: Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields. IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans Volume 102. 2011. https://publications.iarc.fr/126.

WHO Electromagnetic Fields Site. https://www.who.int/peh-emf/research/rf_ehc_page/en/index1.html. Accessed September 11, 2020.

¹⁴ Alster N. Captured Agency: How the Federal Communications Commission is Dominated by the Industries it Presumably Regulates. Harvard University, Edmond J. Safra Center for Ethics, 2015. https://ethics.harvard.edu/news/new-e-books-edmond-j-safra-research-lab.

ArcGIS Online Site. DAS/Small Cell Locations Boston. https://boston.maps.arcgis.com/apps/view/index.html?appid=968bcd0da75f44cca75298153b33a c7f. Accessed January 2, 2020.
 EMF Conference Site. https://emfconference.com/home. Accessed September 11, 2020.

¹⁷ EMF Medical Conference Site. https://emfconference2021.com/. Accessed September 11, 2020.

¹⁸ United Educators of San Francisco Resolution. *Enhancing Technology Safety in San Francisco Unified School District*. https://www.uesf.org/san-francisco-educators-pass-resolution-calling-for-safer-technology-standards-for-all-san-francisco-schools/. Accessed March 13, 2020.

Abrami P, Sherman T. New Hampshire House Bill 522 Establishing a commission to study the environmental and health effects of evolving 5G technology. 2019. http://gencourt.state.nh.us/bill_status.aspx?lsr=0261&sy=2019&txtsessionyear=2019&txtsessi

²⁰ New Hampshire General Court, Commission to Study the Environmental and Health Effects of Evolving 5G Technology. http://www.gencourt.state.nh.us/statstudcomm/committees/1474/default.html. Accessed September 11, 2020.

- 1. Whereas, Oregon in 2019 passed an *emergency* law directing Oregon Health Authority
- 2. to report by January 2021 on the peer-reviewed, independently funded scientific studies
- 3. of health effects especially as it pertains to Wi-Fi exposures on school children;²¹ and 4
- 5. Whereas, Massachusetts has 20 bills filed this session to address electromagnetic
- 6. radiation and public health, several of which have been reported out favorably;²² and 7
- 8. Whereas, The City of Boston in 2020 filed formal comments with the Federal
- 9. Communications Commission stating, "Boston believes that the concerns of the public
- 10. are real and that the Commission has done a disservice to itself, local government,
- 11. consumers, and even the wireless industry in failing to understand and respond to the
- 12. broadly shared mistrust of the safety of RF emissions";²³ therefore, be it
- RESOLVED, That the MMS supports the reevaluation by the Federal Communication Commission (FCC) regarding microwave safety exposure levels associated with wireless communication devices to include the consideration of adverse non-thermal biologic and health effects from non-ionizing electromagnetic radiation used in wireless communications; and, be it further (HP)
- RESOLVED, That the MMS supports implementation of new safety exposure limits for wireless devices to levels that do not cause human or environmental harm based on scientific research; and, be it further (HP)
- RESOLVED, That the MMS advocate to the American Medical Association to support efforts to reevaluate microwave safety exposure levels associated with wireless communication devices, including consideration of adverse non-thermal biologic and health effects from non-ionizing electromagnetic radiation used in wireless communications, and to support efforts to implement new safety exposure limits for wireless devices to levels that do not cause human or environmental harm based on scientific research. (D)

33. Fiscal Note: No Significant Impact

34. (Estimated Expenses)

35

32

20

36. Estimated Staff Effort

37. to Complete Directive(s): No Significant Impact

Anderson ML. Oregon Senate Bill 283 Relating to exposure to radiation in schools in this state; and declaring an emergency. 2019. https://olis.leg.state.or.us/liz/2019R1/Measures/Overview/SB283.

Doucette C. Understanding EMFs research repository, Massachusetts EMF Bills, 2019–2020. https://sites.google.com/site/understandingemfs/massachusetts-emf-bills-2019-20/bills-in-other-states-2019-20.

City of Boston. FCC ET 19-226 In the Matter of Proposed Changes in the Commission's Rules Regarding Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields. https://img1.wsimg.com/blobby/go/18add696-2410-4f74-a623-35ee3c12832d/downloads/FCC%2019-226%20COMMENTS BostonMA.pdf?ver=1592952452329.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the following Voting Members of the US Health Freedom Congress do endorse the Massachusetts Medical Society Resolution on Wireless Safety:

Alliance for Natural Health - USA

America's Frontline Doctors

Children's Health Defense

Dental Amalgam Mercury Solutions (DAMS)

ElectromagneticHealth.org

Health Freedom Defense Fund

Health Rights MA

Illinois Freedom Alliance

MaskOffMN.org

Massachusetts for Safe Technology

Minnesota Natural Health Coalition

Minnesota Natural Health - Legal Reform Project

National Health Freedom Action

National Health Freedom Coalition

NSIR Technologies School of Alternative Healing

Organic Consumers Association

Physicians and Patients Reclaiming Medicine

Robert Scott Bell Show

The Truth About Cancer

Voice for HOPE (Healers Of Planet Earth)

Weston A. Price Foundation